* Restate the goal for the client presentation. Have a clear framework to illustrate how to do the evaluation
  + Having a storyline - this will be solved when we finish research and use eclair guidelines
* Clients are interested in whether the AI products are safe, reliable and useful. Clients are also concerned about is the specific AI product worthwhile for them to invest
  + Add safety to the risk part of the report
* Maintenance for the products is also important
  + Email quere.ai to ask - will be addressed in finance
* Clients are interested about how good you in **searching** evidence as well the completeness of the search
* Focus on the analysis for the next part, **synthesise** the data
* Provide a definition for a common measure for radiologist AI in DTA
* Present the search process and probably contact **librarian**
  + **Cailin, Kiran and Bronte to go to Library 20th September after class (5pm)**
* Demonstrate meta-analysis at the end
* Correlation evaluation for UX side
* Set the story in the beginning of the presentation
* Tighten up the literature such as why you pick those databases, how to pick the comparison studies, why some studies are excluded
* Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be clear
* For the UX side, how did you summarise the results from five papers? Clients are interested in how you find the literature instead of providing details
* What elements should pay attention to when you consider one specific technology such as time
* Really explain what we have done and reasons for our actions